## Appendix C

## DDOT - Transportation Project Evaluation Criteria

## APPENDIX C - Transportation Project Evaluation Criteria

The following 10 evaluation criteria were developed by DDOT and the Office of the Chief Financial Officer in order to rank new capital projects and subprojects (locations) competing for priority funding.

This is a modified evaluation process. However, only new projects competing for funding will be ranked using these criteria.

The development of these criteria was based on two primary sources:

A March 1997 report entitled, "A Transportation Vision, Strategy and Action Plan for the Nation's Capital" (the Vision Plan).

A December 1997 publication jointly developed by the U.S. Deptartment of Transportation and the DPW entitled, "District of Columbia Transportation in a World Class Capital - Six Year Strategic Action and Investment Program" (the Investment Plan).

In addition, DDOT will give increased emphasis to community-identified projects. In support of the Mayor's Neighborhood Action initiative, DDOT will work with the community to plan and implement projects identified in the Strategic Neighborhood Action Plans (SNAPs) and Strategic Neighborhood Improvement Plans (SNIPs).

In this evaluation system, strategic investments related to transportation and economic development identified in the Strategic Transportation Plan receive the highest weighting and include:

System safety and condition of existing physical assets involving system rehabilitation, reconstruction, and replacement;

System management, focused on low-cost improvements to efficiency and safety of the existing system; and

Major and/or new capital improvements focused on system performance at key locations throughout the city.

On-going capital projects are not rated. Priority ranking occurs within capital project categories (i.e., resurfacing projects) only, and not between project categories (i.e., resurfacing versus bridge rehabilitation). Projects mandated by Federal law, local laws, or regulations, receive the maximum 34 points and are scheduled for implementation as soon as plans and designs are available. These projects are not ranked by the project evaluation criteria. All other projects compete for funding using the criteria on a 34-point maximum scale.

Specific project evaluation criteria, associated rating scales (points awarded), and the reason for points awarded, are provided on the following pages.

Evaluation Criteria	Points Awarded	Reason for Points
Projects that support transportation safety	5	Project listed as a high priority project in Vision
(hazardous conditions and legal require-		Plan and Investment Plan
ments), tourism, and economic develop-	4	Project listed as a high priority project in Vision
ment as identified in the Vision Plan or		Plan or Investment Plan
Investment Plan	3	Project listed in Vision Plan and Investment
		Plan
	2	Project listed in Vision Plan or Investment Plan
	1	Project related to a listed project in Vision Plan
		or Investment Plan
	0	Project not mentioned in either document

Evaluation Criteria	Points Awarded	Reason for Points
Projects that support ongoing operations and maintenance as identified in pavement	4	Project among the worst 10 percent in condition survey
management or bridge condition survey	3	Project among the worst 20 percent in condition survey
	2	Project among the worst 50 percent in condition survey
	1	Project among the worst 75 percent in condition survey
	0	Project among the best 25 percent of condition survey

Evaluation Criteria	Points Awarded	Reason for Points	
Project deferral would impact future operat-	3	Deferral of project would shorten future life	
ing, maintenance or capital costs		of the asset	
	2	Deferral of project would increase operating,	
		maintenance, or capital costs by more than	
		10 percent of current costs	
	1	Deferral of project impacts implementation of	
		other capital projects	
	0	Deferral has no impact on operating,	
		maintenance, or capital program	

Evaluation Criteria	Points Awarded	Reason for Points
Projects improving the condition of existing physical assets such as system rehabilitation, reconstruction, replacement, and environ-	3	Project improves condition of major commercial or residential arteries identified in the Vision Plan or Investment Plan
mental concerns (i.e., conformity to air quality plans, wetland restoration, etc.).	2	Project improves condition of a non- major facility identified in the Vision Plan or Investment Plan
	1	Project improves condition of a facility not listed in the Vision Plan or Investment Plan
	0	Project does not improve condition of existing physical asset

Evaluation Criteria	Points Awarded	Reason for Points
Projects that support system management improvements such as traffic operations improvements including signs, lights, TCM activities and new technologies	3	Project improves high priority traffic management system at multiple locations listed in the Vision Plan or Investment Plan and is requested by D.C. residents
	2	Project improves important traffic management system at one location listed in the Vision Plan or Investment Plan and is requested by D.C. residents
	1	Project improves traffic management system not listed in the Vision Plan or Investment Plan nor requested by D.C. residents
	0	Project does not improve traffic management system

Evaluation Criteria	Points Awarded	Reason for Points
Projects that support major capital invest- ments such as actions to remove major bot-	3	Project significantly improves mobility or multi-modalism throughout the city
tlenecks, enhance system connectivity, and encourage alternative modes of travel.	2	Project improves mobility or multi-modalism in a major region of the city
	1	Project improves mobility or multi-modalism at a localized site
	0	Project does not improve mobility or multi- modalism

Evaluation Criteria	Points Awarded	Reason for Points
Projects that support new services designed	3	Project provides major service enhancement
to enhance and expand services		listed in the Vision Plan or Investment Plan or
		is requested by D.C. residents
	2	Project provides major service enhancements
		not listed in the Vision Plan or Investment Plan
		but has been requested by D.C. residents
	1	Project provides a limited service enhancement
	0	Project provides no service enhancement

Evaluation Criteria	Points Awarded	Reason for Points
Projects that support historical and cultural	3	Project improvement is within designated
districts benefiting tourism and economic		historical and/or cultural district and is on either
objectives		the Vision Plan or the Investment Plan
	2	Project improvement is within designated
		historical and/or cultural district
	1	Project improvement serves as a connector to a
		historical and/or cultural district
	0	Project is not within designated historical
		and/or cultural district

Evaluation Criteria	Points Awarded	Reason for Points
Projects that support neighborhood preservation, stimulate neighborhood reinvestment and support community betterment	3	Project improvement directly supports neighborhood preservation, reinvestment or betterment, and is in either the Vision Plan or the Investment Plan
	2	Project improvement directly supports neighborhood preservation, reinvestment or betterment, but is not in either the Vision Plan or the Investment Plan
	1	Project improvement serves to enhance access and mobility to neighborhood areas
	0	Project improvement does not support neighborhood preservation, reinvestment or betterment

Evaluation Criteria	Points Awarded	Reason for Points	
Projects that support the Mayor's	5	Project listed as a high priority project in a	
Neighborhood Action program through		SNAP and a SNIP	
their listing in a SNAP (Strategic	4	Project listed as a high priority project in a	
Neighborhood Action Plan) and/or SNIP		SNAP or a SNIP	
(Strategic Neighborhood Improvement	3	Project listed in a SNAP and a SNIP	
Plan)	2	Project listed in a SNAP or a SNIP	
	1	Project related to a listed project in a	
		SNAP or a SNIP	
	0	Project not mentioned in either document	